



ELSEVIER

Journal of Geometry and Physics 41 (2002) 344–358

JOURNAL OF  
GEOMETRY AND  
PHYSICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp

# Asymptotics of eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a strong $\delta$ -interaction on a loop

Pavel Exner<sup>a,b</sup>, Kazushi Yoshitomi<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Nuclear Physics Institute, ASCR, 25068 Řež near Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup> Doppler Institute, Czech Technical University, Břehová 7, 11519 Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>c</sup> Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

Received 9 April 2001; received in revised form 9 July 2001; accepted 7 September 2001

## Abstract

In this paper we investigate the operator  $H_\beta = -\Delta - \beta\delta(\cdot - \Gamma)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\beta > 0$  and  $\Gamma$  is a closed  $C^4$  Jordan curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We obtain the asymptotic form of each eigenvalue of  $H_\beta$  as  $\beta$  tends to infinity. We also get the asymptotic form of the number of negative eigenvalues of  $H_\beta$  in the strong coupling asymptotic regime. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35J10; 35P15

Keywords: Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator;  $\delta$ -Interaction

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the Schrödinger operator with a  $\delta$ -interaction on a loop. Let  $\Gamma : [0, L] \ni s \mapsto (\Gamma_1(s), \Gamma_2(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a closed  $C^4$  Jordan curve which is parametrized by the arc length. Let  $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the signed curvature of  $\Gamma$ . For  $\beta > 0$ , we define

$$q_\beta(f, f) = \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \beta \int_\Gamma |f(x)|^2 dS, \quad \text{for } f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (1.1)$$

By  $H_\beta$  we denote the self-adjoint operator associated with the form  $q_\beta$ . The operator  $H_\beta$  is formally written as  $-\Delta - \beta\delta(\cdot - \Gamma)$ . As the curve is smooth one can alternatively define  $H_\beta$  through boundary conditions expressing the jump of normal derivative across  $\Gamma$  in analogy with the proof of Proposition 2.4. Since  $\Gamma$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\beta) = [0, \infty)$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: exner@ujf.cas.cz (P. Exner), yositomi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp (K. Yoshitomi).

by [3] Theorem 3.1. Our main purpose is to study the asymptotic behavior of the negative eigenvalues of  $H_\beta$  as  $\beta$  tends to infinity. We define

$$S = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{1}{4}\gamma(s)^2 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L) \tag{1.2}$$

with the domain

$$P = \{\varphi \in H^2(0, L); \quad \varphi(L) = \varphi(0), \quad \varphi'(L) = \varphi'(0)\}. \tag{1.3}$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\mu_j$  the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $S$  counted with multiplicity. For a finite set  $A$ , we denote by  $\#A$  the number of the elements of  $A$ . Our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be an arbitrary integer. There exists  $\beta(n) > 0$  such that*

$$\#\sigma_d(H_\beta) \geq n \quad \text{for } \beta \geq \beta(n).$$

For  $\beta \geq \beta(n)$  we denote by  $\lambda_n(\beta)$  the  $n$ th eigenvalue of  $H_\beta$  counted with multiplicity. Then  $\lambda_n(\beta)$  admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\lambda_n(\beta) = -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \mu_n + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1} \log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

**Theorem 2.** *The function  $\beta \mapsto \#\sigma_d(H_\beta)$  admits an asymptotic expansion of the form*

$$\#\sigma_d(H_\beta) = \frac{L}{2\pi}\beta + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

The Schrödinger operator with a singular interaction has been studied by numerous authors (see [1–3] and the references therein). The basic concepts of the theory are summarized in the monograph [1]. A particular case of a  $\delta$ -interaction supported by a curve attracted much less attention (see [3–5,8,10] and a recent paper [6]). In [3] some upper bounds to the number of eigenvalues for a more general class of operators (with  $\beta$  dependent on the arc length parameter) were obtained by the Birman–Schwinger argument (see [3] Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and 4.2). As it is usually the case with the Birman–Schwinger technique, these bounds are sharp for small positive  $\beta$  (see [3] Example 4.1) while they give a poor estimate in the semiclassical regime. On the contrary, our estimate (1.5) is close to optimal for large positive  $\beta$ . Our main tools to prove Theorems 1 and 2 are the Dirichlet–Neumann bracketing and approximate operators with separated variables. We refrain from illustrating the results by solvable examples because these will be given in another work, currently under preparation.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us prepare some quadratic forms and operators which we need in the sequel. For this purpose, we first need the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Phi_a$  be the map*

$$[0, L) \times (-a, a) \ni (s, u) \mapsto (\Gamma_1(s) - u\Gamma'_2(s), \Gamma_2(s) + u\Gamma'_1(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

*Then there exists  $a_1 > 0$  such that the map  $\Phi_a$  is injective for any  $a \in (0, a_1]$ .*

**Proof.** We extend  $\Gamma$  to a periodic function with period  $L$ , which we denote by  $\tilde{\Gamma}(s) = (\tilde{\Gamma}_1(s), \tilde{\Gamma}_2(s))$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a closed  $C^4$  Jordan curve, we have  $\tilde{\Gamma} \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ . We extend  $\gamma$  to a function  $\tilde{\gamma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by using the formula  $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \tilde{\Gamma}''_1(s)\tilde{\Gamma}'_2(s) - \tilde{\Gamma}''_2(s)\tilde{\Gamma}'_1(s)$ . Then  $\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot)$  is periodic with period  $L$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By  $\Phi$  we denote the map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (s, u) \mapsto (\tilde{\Gamma}_1(s) - u\tilde{\Gamma}'_2(s), \tilde{\Gamma}_2(s) + u\tilde{\Gamma}'_1(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Let  $J\Phi$  be the Jacobian matrix of  $\Phi$ . We put

$$\gamma_+ = \max_{[0, L]} |\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot)|.$$

We have

$$\det J\Phi(s, u) = 1 + u\tilde{\gamma}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for } (s, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \left[-\frac{1}{2\gamma_+}, \frac{1}{2\gamma_+}\right]. \tag{2.1}$$

In addition, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \Phi_j(y)| \leq M \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \left[-\frac{1}{2\gamma_+}, \frac{1}{2\gamma_+}\right] \tag{2.2}$$

for any  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $y = (s, u)$  and  $\Phi(y) = (\Phi_1(y), \Phi_2(y))$ . Combining [11] Lemma 3.6 with (2.1) and (2.2), we claim that there exists  $a_0 \in (0, 1/2\gamma_+)$  such that  $\Phi$  is injective on  $[k - a_0, k + a_0] \times [-a_0, a_0]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We put

$$\tau = \min_{p \in [a_0, L/2]} \min_{t \in [0, L]} |\tilde{\Gamma}(t) - \tilde{\Gamma}(t + p)|. \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is injective on  $[0, L)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}(\cdot)$  has period  $L$ , we have  $\tau > 0$ . Put  $a_1 = \min\{a_0, \tau/4\}$ . Let us show that  $\Phi$  is injective on  $[0, L) \times (-a_1, a_1)$ . We first prove the following claim.

- (i) Assume that  $\Phi(s_1, u_1) = \Phi(s_2, u_2)$ ,  $|s_1 - s_2| \leq L/2$ , and  $(s_1, u_1), (s_2, u_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-a_1, a_1)$ . Then we have  $(s_1, u_1) = (s_2, u_2)$ .

Since  $\Phi(s_1, u_1) = \Phi(s_2, u_2)$  and  $|\tilde{\Gamma}'_j(\cdot)| \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , we obtain

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}_1(s_1) - \tilde{\Gamma}_1(s_2)| = |u_1\tilde{\Gamma}'_2(s_1) - u_2\tilde{\Gamma}'_2(s_2)| \leq 2a_1,$$

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}_2(s_1) - \tilde{\Gamma}_2(s_2)| = |u_1\tilde{\Gamma}'_1(s_1) - u_2\tilde{\Gamma}'_1(s_2)| \leq 2a_1.$$

So we have  $|\tilde{\Gamma}(s_1) - \tilde{\Gamma}(s_2)| \leq 2\sqrt{2}a_1$ , and therefore

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}(s_1) - \tilde{\Gamma}(s_2)| < \tau.$$

This together with (2.3) implies that  $|s_1 - s_2| < a_0$ . Since  $\Phi$  is injective on  $[s_1 - a_0, s_1 + a_0] \times [-a_0, a_0]$  and  $\Phi(s_1, u_1) = \Phi(s_2, u_2)$ , we get  $(s_1, u_1) = (s_2, u_2)$ . In this way we proved (i).

Next we shall prove the following implication.

- (ii) Assume that  $\Phi(s_1, u_1) = \Phi(s_2, u_2)$ ,  $s_1 \leq s_2$ , and  $(s_1, u_1), (s_2, u_2) \in [0, L) \times (-a_1, a_1)$ . Then we have  $s_2 - s_1 \leq L/2$ .

We prove this by contradiction. Assume that  $s_2 - s_1 > L/2$ . We put  $s_3 = s_2 - L$ . Then we get  $0 < s_1 - s_3 < L/2$  and  $\Phi(s_3, u_2) = \Phi(s_1, u_1)$ . As in the proof of (i) we obtain  $(s_1, u_1) = (s_3, u_2)$  which violates the fact that  $0 < s_1 - s_3 < L/2$ , so we proved (ii).

Combining (i) with (ii), we conclude that  $\Phi$  is injective on  $[0, L) \times (-a_1, a_1)$ .  $\square$

Let  $0 < a < a_1$ . Let  $\Sigma_a$  be the strip of width  $2a$  enclosing  $\Gamma$ :

$$\Sigma_a = \Phi([0, L) \times (-a, a)).$$

Then  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Sigma_a$  consists of two connected components which we denote by  $\Lambda_a^{\text{in}}$  and  $\Lambda_a^{\text{out}}$ , where  $\Lambda_a^{\text{in}}$  is compact. We define

$$q_{a,\beta}^+(f, f) = \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}^2 - \beta \int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^2 dS, \quad \text{for } f \in H_0^1(\Sigma_a),$$

$$q_{a,\beta}^-(f, f) = \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}^2 - \beta \int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^2 dS, \quad \text{for } f \in H^1(\Sigma_a).$$

Let  $L_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $L_{a,\beta}^-$  be the self-adjoint operators associated with the forms  $q_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $q_{a,\beta}^-$ , respectively. By using the Dirichlet–Neumann bracketing (see [9] XIII.15, Proposition 4), we obtain

$$(-\Delta_{\Lambda_a^{\text{in}}}^{\text{N}}) \oplus L_{a,\beta}^- \oplus (-\Delta_{\Lambda_a^{\text{out}}}^{\text{N}}) \leq H_{\beta} \leq (-\Delta_{\Lambda_a^{\text{in}}}^{\text{D}}) \oplus L_{a,\beta}^+ \oplus (-\Delta_{\Lambda_a^{\text{out}}}^{\text{D}}) \tag{2.4}$$

in  $L^2(\Lambda_a^{\text{in}}) \oplus L^2(\Sigma_a) \oplus L^2(\Lambda_a^{\text{out}})$ . In order to estimate the negative eigenvalues of  $H_{\beta}$ , it is sufficient to estimate those of  $L_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $L_{a,\beta}^-$ , because the other operators involved in (2.4) are positive.

To this aim we introduce two operators in  $L^2((0, L) \times (-a, a))$  which are unitarily equivalent to  $L_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $L_{a,\beta}^-$ , respectively. We define

$$Q_a^+ = \{\varphi \in H^1((0, L) \times (-a, a)); \quad \varphi(L, \cdot) = \varphi(0, \cdot) \quad \text{on } (-a, a), \\ \varphi(\cdot, a) = \varphi(\cdot, -a) = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, L)\},$$

$$Q_a^- = \{\varphi \in H^1((0, L) \times (-a, a)); \quad \varphi(L, \cdot) = \varphi(0, \cdot) \quad \text{on } (-a, a),$$

$$b_{a,\beta}^+(f, f) = \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a (1 + u\gamma(s))^{-2} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right|^2 du ds + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|^2 du ds \\ + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a V(s, u) |f|^2 ds du - \beta \int_0^L |f(s, 0)|^2 ds, \quad \text{for } f \in Q_a^+,$$

$$b_{a,\beta}^-(f, f) = \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a (1 + u\gamma(s))^{-2} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right|^2 du ds + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|^2 du ds \\ + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a V(s, u) |f|^2 ds du - \beta \int_0^L |f(s, 0)|^2 ds \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{\gamma(s)}{1 + a\gamma(s)} |f(s, a)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{\gamma(s)}{1 - a\gamma(s)} |f(s, -a)|^2 ds$$

for  $f \in Q_a^-$ , where

$$V(s, u) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u\gamma(s))^{-3}u\gamma''(s) - \frac{5}{4}(1 + u\gamma(s))^{-4}u^2\gamma'(s)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(1 + u\gamma(s))^{-2}\gamma(s)^2.$$

Let  $B_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $B_{a,\beta}^-$  be the self-adjoint operators associated with the forms  $b_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $b_{a,\beta}^-$ , respectively. Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The operators  $B_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $B_{a,\beta}^-$  are unitarily equivalent to  $L_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $L_{a,\beta}^-$ , respectively.*

**Proof.** We prove the assertion only for  $B_{a,\beta}^-$  because that for  $B_{a,\beta}^+$  is similar. Given  $f \in L^2(\Sigma_a)$ , we define

$$(U_a f)(s, u) = (1 + u\gamma(s))^{1/2} f(\Phi_a(s, u)), \quad (s, u) \in (0, L) \times (-a, a). \tag{2.5}$$

From Lemma 2.1, we infer that  $U_a$  is a unitary operator from  $L^2(\Sigma_a)$  to  $L^2((0, L) \times (-a, a))$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a closed  $C^4$  Jordan curve,  $U_a$  is a bijection from  $H^1(\Sigma_a)$  to  $Q_a^-$ . Using an integration by parts, we obtain

$$q_{a,\beta}^-(f, g) - b_{a,\beta}^-(U_a f, U_a g) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a \left[ (1 + u\gamma(s))^{-3} \gamma'(s) (U_a f)(s, u) \overline{(U_a g)(s, u)} \right]_{s=0}^{s=L} du.$$

Since  $U_a f$  and  $U_a g$  as elements of  $Q_a^-$  satisfy the periodicity condition, we get

$$q_{a,\beta}^-(f, g) = b_{a,\beta}^-(U_a f, U_a g), \quad \text{for } f, g \in H^1(\Sigma_a).$$

This together with the first representation theorem (see [7] Theorem VI.2.1) implies that

$$U_a^* B_{a,\beta}^- U_a = L_{a,\beta}^-.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Next we estimate  $B_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $B_{a,\beta}^-$  by operators with separated variables. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_+ &= \max_{[0, L]} |\gamma'(\cdot)|, & \gamma''_+ &= \max_{[0, L]} |\gamma''(\cdot)|, \\ V_+(s) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-3}a\gamma''_+ - \frac{5}{4}(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-4}a^2(\gamma'_+)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-2}\gamma(s)^2, \\ V_-(s) &= -\frac{1}{2}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-3}a\gamma''_+ - \frac{5}{4}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-4}a^2(\gamma'_+)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2}\gamma(s)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < a < (1/2)\gamma_+$ , we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^+(f, f) &= (1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2} \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right|^2 du ds + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|^2 du ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a V_+(s) |f|^2 du ds - \beta \int_0^L |f(s, 0)|^2 ds, \quad \text{for } f \in Q_a^+, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^-(f, f) &= (1 + a\gamma_+)^{-2} \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right|^2 du ds + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right|^2 du ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^L \int_{-a}^a V_-(s) |f|^2 du ds - \beta \int_0^L |f(s, 0)|^2 ds \\ &\quad - \gamma_+ \int_0^L (|f(s, a)|^2 + |f(s, -a)|^2) ds, \quad \text{for } f \in Q_a^-. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$b_{a,\beta}^+(f, f) \leq \tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^+(f, f), \quad \text{for } f \in Q_a^+, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^-(f, f) \leq b_{a,\beta}^-(f, f), \quad \text{for } f \in Q_a^-. \tag{2.7}$$

Let  $\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^-$  be the self-adjoint operators associated with the forms  $\tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^+$  and  $\tilde{b}_{a,\beta}^-$ , respectively. Let  $T_{a,\beta}^+$  be the self-adjoint operator associated with the form

$$t_{a,\beta}^+(f, f) = \int_{-a}^a |f'(u)|^2 du - \beta |f(0)|^2, \quad f \in H_0^1(-a, a).$$

Let finally  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  be the self-adjoint operator associated with the form

$$\begin{aligned} t_{a,\beta}^-(f, f) &= \int_{-a}^a |f'(u)|^2 du - \beta |f(0)|^2 - \gamma_+ (|f(a)|^2 + |f(-a)|^2), \\ f &\in H^1(-a, a). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} U_a^+ &= -(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} + V_+(s) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L) \quad \text{with the domain } P, \\ U_a^- &= -(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} + V_-(s) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L) \quad \text{with the domain } P. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^+ = U_a^+ \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes T_{a,\beta}^+, \quad \tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^- = U_a^- \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes T_{a,\beta}^-. \tag{2.8}$$

Next we consider the asymptotic behavior of each eigenvalue of  $U_a^\pm$  as  $a$  tends to zero. Let  $\mu_j^\pm(a)$  be the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $U_a^\pm$  counted with multiplicity. The following proposition is needed to prove Theorem 2 as well as Theorem 1.

**Proposition 2.3.** *There exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$|\mu_j^+(a) - \mu_j| \leq C_1 a j^2 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$|\mu_j^-(a) - \mu_j| \leq C_1 a j^2 \tag{2.10}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < a < 1/(2\gamma_+)$ , where  $C_1$  is independent of  $j, a$ .

**Proof.** We define

$$S_0 = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L) \quad \text{with the domain } P.$$

Notice that the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $S_0$  counted with multiplicity is  $4[j/2]^2(\pi/L)^2$ . Since

$$\|S - S_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(0,L))} \leq \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2,$$

the min–max principle (see [9] Theorem XIII.2) implies that

$$\left| \mu_j - 4 \left[ \frac{j}{2} \right]^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2, \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.11}$$

Since

$$U_a^+ - (1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2}S = \frac{1}{2}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-3}a\gamma_+'' + -\frac{5}{4}(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-4}a^2(\gamma_+' )^2 + a\gamma_+(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-2}(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2}\gamma(s)^2,$$

we infer that there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|U_a^+ - (1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2}S\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(0,L))} \leq C_0a, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < 1/(2\gamma_+).$$

This together with the min–max principle implies that

$$|\mu_j^+(a) - (1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2}\mu_j| \leq C_0a \quad \text{for } 0 < a < 1/(2\gamma_+).$$

Hence we get

$$|\mu_j^+(a) - \mu_j| \leq C_0a + \frac{a\gamma_+(2 - a\gamma_+)}{(1 - a\gamma_+)^2}|\mu_j|.$$

Combining this with (2.11) we arrive at (2.9).

The proof of (2.10) is similar. □

Next we estimate the first eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^+$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Assume that  $\beta a > 8/3$ . Then  $T_{a,\beta}^+$  has only one negative eigenvalue which we denote by  $\zeta_{a,\beta}^+$ . It satisfies the inequalities*

$$-\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 < \zeta_{a,\beta}^+ < -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + 2\beta^2 \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta a)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $k > 0$ . We will show that  $-k^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^+$  if and only if

$$g_{a,\beta}(k) := \log(\beta - 2k) - \log(\beta + 2k) + 2ka = 0.$$

Assume that  $-k^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^+$ . Notice that

$$\mathcal{D}(T_{a,\beta}^+) = \{\varphi \in H_0^1(-a, a); \quad \varphi|_{(0,a)} \in H^2(0, a), \quad \varphi|_{(-a,0)} \in H^2(-a, 0), \\ \varphi'(+0) - \varphi'(-0) = -\beta\varphi(0)\}.$$

Let a non-zero  $\psi$  be the eigenfunction of  $T_{a,\beta}^+$  associated with the eigenvalue  $-k^2$ , then we have

- (i)  $-\psi''(u) = -k^2\psi(u)$  on  $(-a, 0) \cup (0, a)$ ;
- (ii)  $\psi(\pm a) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\psi'(+0) - \psi'(-0) = -\beta\psi(0)$ .

Since  $T_{a,\beta}^+$  commutes with the parity operator  $f(x) \mapsto f(-x)$ , the ground state  $\psi$  satisfies  $\psi(u) = \psi(-u)$  on  $[0, a]$ . Combining this with (i), we infer that  $\psi$  is of the form

$$\psi(u) = \begin{cases} C_1 e^{ku} + C_2 e^{-ku}, & u \in (0, a), \\ C_2 e^{ku} + C_1 e^{-ku}, & u \in (-a, 0). \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Note that (ii) is equivalent to

$$C_2 = -C_1 e^{2ka}.$$

In addition, (iii) is equivalent to

$$(2k + \beta)C_1 - (2k - \beta)C_2 = 0.$$

Thus the equation for  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2k + \beta & -(2k - \beta) \\ e^{2ka} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Since  $(C_1, C_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2k + \beta & -(2k - \beta) \\ e^{2ka} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

which is equivalent to  $g_{a,\beta}(k) = 0$ .

To check the converse, assume that  $g_{a,\beta}(k) = 0$ . Then (2.13) has a solution  $(C_1, C_2) \neq (0, 0)$ . It is easy to see that the function  $\psi$  from (2.12) satisfies (i)–(iii) and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T_{a,\beta}^+)$ .

Let us show that  $g_{a,\beta}(\cdot)$  has a unique zero in  $(0, \beta/4)$ . We have  $g_{a,\beta}(0) = 0$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dk} g_{a,\beta}(k) = \frac{-4\beta}{\beta^2 - 4k^2} + 2a,$$

we claim that  $g_{a,\beta}(\cdot)$  is monotone increasing on  $(0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta^2 - 2\beta/a})$  and is monotone decreasing on  $(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta^2 - 2\beta/a}, \frac{1}{2}\beta)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \beta/2-0} g_{a,\beta}(k) = -\infty.$$

Hence the function  $g_{a,\beta}(\cdot)$  has a unique zero in  $(0, \beta/2)$ . Since  $a\beta > 8/3$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta^2 - 2\beta/a} \geq \beta/4$ . Consequently, the solution  $k$  has the form  $k = \beta/2 - s, 0 < s \leq \beta/4$ . Taking into account the relation  $g_{a,\beta}(k) = 0$ , we get

$$\log 2s = \log(2\beta - s) - \beta a + 2as < \log 2\beta - \frac{1}{2}a\beta.$$

So we obtain  $s < \beta \exp(-\frac{1}{2}a\beta)$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4. □



Next we estimate the first eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $a\beta > 8$  and  $\beta > \frac{8}{3}\gamma_+$ . Then  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  has a unique negative eigenvalue  $\zeta_{a,\beta}^-$ , and moreover we have*

$$-\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 - \frac{2205}{16}\beta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right) < \zeta_{a,\beta}^- < -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2.$$

**Proof.** Let us first show that  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  has a unique negative eigenvalue. Let  $k > 0$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we infer that  $-k^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  if and only if

$$\frac{ke^{ka} - \gamma_+}{ke^{-ka} + \gamma_+} = \frac{2k + \beta}{2k - \beta}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since the left side of (2.14) is positive for  $k \geq \gamma_+$  and the right side of (2.14) is negative for  $0 < k < \beta/2$ , (2.14) has no solution in  $[\gamma_+, \beta/2)$ . We put

$$g(k) = \frac{ke^{ka} - \gamma_+}{ke^{-ka} + \gamma_+} \quad \text{and} \quad h(k) = \frac{2k + \beta}{2k - \beta}.$$

Then we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(k) = \infty$  and

$$g'(k) = \frac{\gamma_+(e^{ka} - e^{-ka}) + 2k^2a + ka\gamma_+(e^{ka} + e^{-ka})}{(ke^{-ka} + \gamma_+)^2} > 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

Thus  $g(k)$  is monotone increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . On the other hand,  $h(k)$  is monotone decreasing on  $(\beta/2, \infty)$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \beta/2+0} h(k) = \infty, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h(k) = 1.$$

Hence (2.14) has a unique solution in  $(\beta/2, \infty)$ . Since  $h(k)$  is monotone decreasing on  $(0, \beta/2)$  and  $g(0) = h(0)$ , we claim that (2.14) has no solution in  $(0, \beta/2)$ .

Next we show that  $g(k) > (2k + \beta)/(2k - \beta)$ , for  $k \geq \frac{3}{4}\beta$ . We have  $(2k + \beta)/(2k - \beta) \leq 5$ , for  $k \geq (3/4)\beta$ . For  $k \geq (3/4)\beta$ , we get

$$g(k) \geq g\left(\frac{3}{4}\beta\right) = \frac{(3/4)\beta \exp((3/4)a\beta) - \gamma_+}{(3/4)\beta \exp(-(3/4)a\beta) + \gamma_+}$$

since  $\gamma_+ < \frac{3}{8}\beta < \frac{3}{8}\beta \exp(\frac{3}{4}a\beta)$

$$\geq \frac{(3/8)\beta \exp((3/4)a\beta)}{(3/4)\beta \exp(-(3/4)a\beta) + (3/8)\beta} = \frac{\exp((3/4)a\beta)}{2\exp(-(3/4)a\beta) + 1}$$

since  $a\beta > 8$

$$\geq \frac{e^6}{2e^{-6} + 1} > 5.$$

So (2.14) has no solution in  $[\frac{3}{4}\beta, \infty)$ . Hence, the solution  $k$  of (2.14) is of the form  $k = \beta/2 + s$ ,  $0 < s < \frac{1}{4}\beta$ . From (2.14), we get

$$\frac{5\beta}{4s} \geq \frac{2k + \beta}{2k - \beta} = \frac{ke^{ka} - \gamma_+}{ke^{-ka} + \gamma_+}$$

since  $\gamma_+ < (\frac{3}{8})\beta < (\frac{3}{8})\beta \exp((\frac{1}{2})\beta a)$  and  $ke^{ka} \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta \exp(\frac{1}{2}\beta a)$

$$\geq \frac{(1/8)\beta \exp((1/2)\beta a)}{ke^{-ka} + \gamma_+}$$

since  $ke^{-ka} < k < (\frac{3}{4})\beta$  and  $\gamma_+ < \frac{3}{8}\beta$

$$\geq \frac{(1/8)\beta \exp((1/2)\beta a)}{(9/8)\beta} = \frac{1}{9} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right).$$

Thus we get  $s \leq \frac{45}{4}\beta \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a)$ , which gives  $k^2 \geq \beta^2/4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 &= \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \beta s + s^2 \leq \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{45}{4}\beta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right) + \left(\frac{45}{4}\right)^2 \beta^2 \exp(-\beta a) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{45}{4}\beta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right) + \left(\frac{45}{4}\right)^2 \beta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right) \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{2205}{16} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.5. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We put  $a(\beta) = 6\beta^{-1} \log \beta$ . Let  $\xi_{\beta,j}^\pm$  be the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $T_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm$ . From Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\xi_{\beta,1}^\pm = \zeta_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{\beta,2}^\pm \geq 0.$$

From (2.8), we infer that  $\{\xi_{\beta,j}^\pm + \mu_k^\pm(a(\beta))\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of all eigenvalues of  $\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm$  counted with multiplicity. From Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\xi_{\beta,j}^\pm + \mu_k^\pm(a(\beta)) \geq \mu_1^\pm(a(\beta)) = \mu_1 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1} \log \beta) \tag{2.15}$$

for  $j \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$\tau_{\beta,j}^\pm = \zeta_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm + \mu_j^\pm(a(\beta)). \tag{2.16}$$

From Propositions 2.3–2.5, we get

$$\tau_{\beta,j}^\pm = -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \mu_j + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1} \log \beta) \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.17}$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Combining (2.15) with (2.17), we claim that there exists  $\beta(n) > 0$  such that

$$\tau_{\beta,n}^+ < 0, \quad \tau_{\beta,n}^+ < \xi_{\beta,j}^+ + \mu_k^+(a(\beta)), \quad \tau_{\beta,n}^- < \xi_{\beta,j}^- + \mu_k^-(a(\beta))$$

for  $\beta \geq \beta(n)$ ,  $j \geq 2$ , and  $k \geq 1$ . Hence the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm$  counted with multiplicity is  $\tau_{\beta,j}^\pm$  for  $j \leq n$  and  $\beta \geq \beta(n)$ . Let  $\beta \geq \beta(n)$  and denote by  $\kappa_j^\pm(\beta)$  the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $L_{a(\beta),\beta}^\pm$ . From (2.4) and (2.6), and the min–max principle we obtain

$$\tau_{\beta,j}^- \leq \kappa_j^-(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_j^+(\beta) \leq \tau_{\beta,j}^+, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{2.18}$$

so we have  $\kappa_n^+(\beta) < 0$ . Hence the min–max principle and (2.4) imply that  $H_\beta$  has at least  $n$  eigenvalues in  $(-\infty, \kappa_n^+(\beta))$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we denote by  $\lambda_j(\beta)$  the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $H_\beta$ . We have

$$\kappa_j^-(\beta) \leq \lambda_j(\beta) \leq \kappa_j^+(\beta), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

This together with (2.17) and (2.18) implies that

$$\lambda_j(\beta) = -\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \mu_j + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1} \log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

For a self-adjoint operator  $A$ , we define

$$N^-(A) = \#\{\sigma_d(A) \cap (-\infty, 0)\}.$$

From (2.4), we have  $N^-(L_{a,\beta}^-) \geq \#\sigma_d(H_\beta) \geq N^-(L_{a,\beta}^+)$ . On the other hand, Lemma 2.2, (2.6) and (2.7) imply that  $N^-(\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^-) \geq N^-(L_{a,\beta}^-)$  and  $N^-(L_{a,\beta}^+) \geq N^-(\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^+)$ . In this way we get

$$N^-(\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^+) \leq \#\sigma_d(H_\beta) \leq N^-(\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^-). \tag{3.1}$$

Recall the relation (2.16). We define

$$K_\beta^\pm = \{j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \tau_{\beta,j}^\pm < 0\}$$

and use the following proposition to estimate  $N^-(\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^\pm)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *We have*

$$\#K_\beta^\pm = \frac{L}{2\pi}\beta + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof.** We choose  $C_2 > 0$  such that  $-(1/4)C_2^2 \leq -1 - (1/4)\gamma_+^2$ . Let  $\beta \geq \max\{2, C_2\}$ . Then we have  $(1/4)(\beta - C_2)^2 < (1/4)\beta^2 - 1 - (1/4)\gamma_+^2$ . We get

$$K_\beta^+ = \{j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \mu_j^+(a(\beta)) < -\zeta_{a(\beta),\beta}^+\}$$

by using Propositions 2.3 and 2.4

$$\supset \{j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \mu_j + C_1 a(\beta)j^2 < \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 - 2\beta^2 \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\beta a(\beta))\}$$

since  $\mu_j \leq [j/2]^2(\pi/L)^2 + (1/4)\gamma_+^2$

$$\supset \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad 4 \left[ \frac{j}{2} \right]^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 + C_1(\beta^{-1} \log \beta)j^2 < \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 - \frac{2}{\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2 \right\}$$

since  $\beta \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\supset \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad j^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 + C_1(\beta^{-1} \log \beta)j^2 < \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 - 1 - \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2 \right\} \\ &\supset \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad j^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 + C_1(\beta^{-1} \log \beta)j^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\beta - C_2)^2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad j \leq \frac{1}{2}(\beta - C_2) \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 + C_1\beta^{-1} \log \beta \right)^{-1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from

$$\frac{1}{2}(\beta - C_2) \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 + C_1\beta^{-1} \log \beta \right)^{-1/2} = \frac{L\beta}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty,$$

we infer that

$$\#K_\beta^+ \geq \frac{L\beta}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_\beta^- &= \{j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \mu_j^-(a(\beta)) < -\zeta_{a(\beta),\beta}^-\} \\ &\subset \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \mu_j - C_1a(\beta)j^2 < \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{2205}{4\beta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

since  $2(j - 1) \geq j$  for  $j \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\subset \{1\} \cup \left\{ j \geq 2; \quad (j - 1)^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 - 4C_1(\beta^{-1} \log \beta)(j - 1)^2 < \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{2205}{4\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2 \right\} \\ &= \{1\} \cup \left\{ j \geq 2; \quad j < 1 + \left( \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{2205}{4\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2 \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 - 4C_1\beta^{-1} \log \beta \right)^{-1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$1 + \left( \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{2205}{4\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_+^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 - 4C_1\beta^{-1} \log \beta \right)^{-1/2} = \frac{L\beta}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta)$$

as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ , which leads to

$$\#K_\beta^- \leq \frac{L\beta}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\log \beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $\tau_{\beta,j}^- < \tau_{\beta,j}^+$ , we get  $K_\beta^- \supset K_\beta^+$ . Combining this with (3.2) and (3.3), we get the assertion of Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

We also need the following result to estimate the second eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $0 < a < 1/\sqrt{2}\gamma_+$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  has no eigenvalue in  $[0, \min\{\pi^2/16a^2, \beta\gamma_+/2, \beta^2\})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k > 0$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we infer that  $k^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  if and only if  $k$  solves either

$$\tan ka = \frac{k}{\gamma_+} \tag{3.4}$$

or

$$\tan ka = \frac{\beta + 2k\gamma_+}{\beta\gamma_+ - 2k^2}\beta. \tag{3.5}$$

For  $k \in (0, \pi/4a)$ , we have

$$\tan ka < \sqrt{2} \sin ka < \sqrt{2}ka < \frac{k}{\gamma_+}. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus (3.4) has no solution in  $(0, \pi/4a)$ . For  $k \in (0, \min\{\pi/4a, \sqrt{\beta\gamma_+}/\sqrt{2}, \beta\})$ , we have

$$\frac{\beta + 2k\gamma_+}{\beta\gamma_+ - 2k^2}\beta - \frac{k}{\gamma_+} = \frac{\beta\gamma_+(\beta - k) + 2k(\gamma_+)^2\beta + 2k^3}{(\beta\gamma_+ - 2k^2)\gamma_+} > 0.$$

This together with (3.6) implies that (3.5) has no solution in  $(0, \min\{\pi/4a, \sqrt{\beta\gamma_+}/\sqrt{2}, \beta\})$ . Consequently,  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  has no eigenvalue in  $(0, \min\{\pi^2/16a^2, \beta\gamma_+/2, \beta^2\})$ .

Next we show that 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we infer that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$  if and only if either  $\gamma_+a = 1$  or  $\beta(\gamma_+a - 1) = 2\gamma_+$  holds. Since  $0 < a < 1/\sqrt{2}\gamma_+$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we have  $\gamma_+a < 1$  and  $\beta(\gamma_+a - 1) < 2\gamma_+$ . Hence 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $T_{a,\beta}^-$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let us first show that

$$N^-(\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^-) = \#K_\beta^- \quad \text{for sufficiently large } \beta > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Recall that  $\{\xi_{\beta,j}^- + \mu_k^-(a(\beta))\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of all eigenvalues of  $\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^-$  counted with multiplicity. From Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\xi_{\beta,2}^- \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{16a(\beta)^2}, \frac{\beta\gamma_+}{2}, \beta^2 \right\}.$$

This together with (2.10) implies that there exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that  $\xi_{\beta,2}^- + \mu_1^-(a(\beta)) > 0$  for  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ . We obtain

$$\xi_{\beta,j}^- + \mu_k^-(a(\beta)) > 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 2, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \text{and } \beta \geq \beta_0.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^-(\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^-) &= \#\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2; \quad \xi_{\beta,j}^- + \mu_k^-(a(\beta)) < 0\} \\ &= \#\{j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \tau_{\beta,j}^- < 0\} = \#K_\beta^- \quad \text{for } \beta \geq \beta_0. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain (3.7). From (3.1), we get

$$\#K_\beta^+ \leq \#\sigma_d(H_\beta) \leq N^-(\tilde{H}_{a(\beta),\beta}^-).$$

This together with (3.7) and Proposition 3.1 implies the assertion of Theorem 2. □

**Remark 3.3.** We can also prove (1.5) in the case that  $\gamma$  is an open  $C^4$  Jordan curve. Indeed, it suffices to use the following operators  $\hat{H}_{a,\beta}^\pm$  instead of  $\tilde{H}_{a,\beta}^\pm = U_a^\pm \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes T_{a,\beta}^\pm$ :

$$\hat{H}_{a,\beta}^\pm := \hat{U}_a^\pm \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes T_{a,\beta}^\pm \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L) \otimes L^2(-a, a) = L^2((0, L) \times (-a, a)),$$

$$\hat{U}_a^+ := -(1 - a\gamma_+)^{-2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} + V_+(s) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L)$$

with the Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$\hat{U}_a^- := -(1 + a\gamma_+)^{-2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} + V_-(s) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L)$$

with the Neumann boundary condition.

**Remark 3.4.** The operator  $H_\beta$  can be defined in a different way via a boundary condition on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $n(x)$  be the outward normal vector field on  $\Gamma$ . In [3] Remark 4.1, it is shown that the set

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^2); \quad f|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma), \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_+}(x) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_-}(x) = -\beta f(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \right\}$$

is the core of  $H_\beta$ , where  $\partial f / \partial n_+(x)$  and  $\partial f / \partial n_-(x)$  are the derivatives in the direction of  $n(x)$  and  $-n(x)$ , respectively, at the point  $x$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referee for various advice which improved the paper. The research has been partially supported by GAAS and the Czech Ministry of Education under the projects A1048101 and ME170.

## References

- [1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1988.
- [2] S. Albeverio, P. Kurasov, *Singular Perturbations of Differential Operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 271, Cambridge University Press, London, UK, 1999.
- [3] J.F. Brasche, P. Exner, Yu.A. Kuperin, P. Šeba, Schrödinger operators with singular interactions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994) 112–139.
- [4] J.F. Brasche, A. Teta, Spectral analysis and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with an interaction supported by a regular curve, in: *Ideas and Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics*, Cambridge University Press, London, UK, 1992, pp. 197–211.
- [5] L. Dabrowski, J. Shabani, Finitely many sphere interactions in quantum mechanics: nonseparated boundary conditions, *J. Math. Phys.* 29 (1988) 2241–2244.
- [6] P. Exner, T. Ichinose, Geometrically induced spectrum in curved leaky wires, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 34 (2001) 1439–1450.
- [7] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [8] Ya. Kurylev, Boundary conditions on a curve for a three-dimensional Laplace operator, *Zapiski LOMI* 78 (1978) 112–127.
- [9] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods on Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1978.
- [10] Yu. Shondin, On the semiboundedness of  $\delta$ -perturbations of the Laplacian supported by curves with angle points, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 105 (1995) 1189–1200.
- [11] K. Yoshitomi, Band gap of the spectrum in periodically curved quantum waveguides, *J. Differential Equations* 142 (1998) 123–166.